

Riesz Transforms and Poisson Semigroups

Tao Mei

Wayne State University

Besançon

Joint work with M. Junge and J. Parcet

October 2, 2014

Classical Riesz transform

$$f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$R = \nabla \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

with

$$\nabla = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right), \quad \Delta = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} = \sum_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$$

Classical Riesz transform

$$f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$R = \nabla \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

with

$$\nabla = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right), \Delta = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} = \sum_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$$

$$Rf = (R_1 f, R_2 f, \dots, R_n f)$$

with $R_i = \partial_i \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ the i -th Riesz transform,

$$\widehat{R_i f}(\xi) = c \frac{\xi_i}{|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Classical Riesz transform

$$f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$R = \nabla \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

with

$$\nabla = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right), \Delta = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} = \sum_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$$

$$Rf = (R_1 f, R_2 f, \dots, R_n f)$$

with $R_i = \partial_i \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ the i -th Riesz transform,

$$\widehat{R_i f}(\xi) = c \frac{\xi_i}{|\xi|} \widehat{f}(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(Riesz; Stein/Meyer-Bakry/Pisier/Gundy/Varopoulos and many others)

$$\|Rf\|_p = \left\| \left(\sum_j |R_j f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p \simeq \|f\|_p, 1 < p < \infty,$$

$$\|\nabla f\|_p = \left\| \left(\sum_i |\partial_i f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p \simeq \|\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_p, 1 < p < \infty,$$

Carré du Champ—P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

L : generator of a Markov semigroup on $L^p(M)$ (e.g. an elliptic differential operator)

$$\|\nabla_L f\|_p \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_p?$$

Carré du Champ—P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

L : generator of a Markov semigroup on $L^p(M)$ (e.g. an elliptic differential operator)

$$\|\nabla_L f\|_p \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_p?$$

What is an appropriate ∇_L ?

Carré du Champ—P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

L : generator of a Markov semigroup on $L^p(M)$ (e.g. an elliptic differential operator)

$$\|\nabla_L f\|_p \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_p?$$

What is an appropriate ∇_L ?

Observation: $L = \Delta = -\partial_x^2$ on (\mathbb{R}, dx) ; Chain rule:

$$2\nabla f_1 \cdot \nabla f_2 = -\Delta(f_1 f_2) + (\Delta f_1) f_2 + f_1 \Delta f_2$$

P. A. Meyer's Carré du Champ— a bilinear form Γ

Carré du Champ—P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

L : generator of a Markov semigroup on $L^p(M)$ (e.g. an elliptic differential operator)

$$\|\nabla_L f\|_p \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_p?$$

What is an appropriate ∇_L ?

Observation: $L = \Delta = -\partial_x^2$ on (\mathbb{R}, dx) ; Chain rule:

$$2\nabla f_1 \cdot \nabla f_2 = -\Delta(f_1 f_2) + (\Delta f_1) f_2 + f_1 \Delta f_2$$

P. A. Meyer's Carré du Champ— a bilinear form Γ

$$2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2);$$

Carré du Champ—P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

L : generator of a Markov semigroup on $L^p(M)$ (e.g. an elliptic differential operator)

$$\|\nabla_L f\|_p \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_p?$$

What is an appropriate ∇_L ?

Observation: $L = \Delta = -\partial_x^2$ on (\mathbb{R}, dx) ; Chain rule:

$$2\nabla f_1 \cdot \nabla f_2 = -\Delta(f_1 f_2) + (\Delta f_1) f_2 + f_1 \Delta f_2$$

P. A. Meyer's Carré du Champ— a bilinear form Γ

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) &= -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2); \\ \Gamma(f, f) &< \text{---} > |\nabla_L f|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Carré du Champ—P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

L : generator of a Markov semigroup on $L^p(M)$ (e.g. an elliptic differential operator)

$$\|\nabla_L f\|_p \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_p?$$

What is an appropriate ∇_L ?

Observation: $L = \Delta = -\partial_x^2$ on (\mathbb{R}, dx) ; Chain rule:

$$2\nabla f_1 \cdot \nabla f_2 = -\Delta(f_1 f_2) + (\Delta f_1) f_2 + f_1 \Delta f_2$$

P. A. Meyer's Carré du Champ—a bilinear form Γ

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) &= -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2); \\ \Gamma(f, f) &< \text{---} > |\nabla_L f|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Questions

$$\|\Gamma(f, f)\|_{p/2}^2 \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_p^2?$$

Semiclassical Riesz transform — Markov Semigroups of Operators

(\mathcal{M}, μ) : Sigma finite measure space,

$(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$: a semigroup of operators on $L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$

We say $(S_t)_t$ is **Markov**, if

- ▶ S_t are contractions on $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$.
- ▶ S_t are symmetric i.e. $\langle S_t f, g \rangle = \langle f, S_t g \rangle$ for $f, g \in L^1(\mathcal{M}) \cap L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$.
- ▶ $S_t(1) = 1$
- ▶ $S_t(f) \rightarrow f$ in the w^* topology for $f \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

Infinitesimal generator: $L = -\frac{\partial S_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$; $S_t = e^{-tL}$.

More general case: $L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$ replaced by semi finite von Neuman algebras.

Abstract theories by E. Stein, Cowling, McIntoch..., Junge/Xu, Le Merdy-Junge-Xu.

Examples, P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

$$2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2).$$

Examples, P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

$$2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2).$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta$: Laplace-Betrami operator

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2.$$

Examples, P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

$$2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2).$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta$: Laplace-Betrami operator

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2.$$

- ▶ (M, dx) : complete Riemannian manifold.

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \partial_j f + \sum_i g_i(x) \partial_i f.$$

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_i \bar{f} \partial_j f.$$

Examples, P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

$$2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2).$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta$: Laplace-Betrami operator

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2.$$

- ▶ (M, dx) : complete Riemannian manifold.

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \partial_j f + \sum_i g_i(x) \partial_i f.$$

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_i \bar{f} \partial_j f.$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $S_t = e^{-tL}$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

Examples, P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

$$2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2).$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta$: Laplace-Betrami operator

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2.$$

- ▶ (M, dx) : complete Riemannian manifold.

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \partial_j f + \sum_i g_i(x) \partial_i f.$$

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_i \bar{f} \partial_j f.$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $S_t = e^{-tL}$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \int_0^\infty |\nabla S_t f|^2 + |\partial_t S_t f|^2 dt.$$

Examples, P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

$$2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2).$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta$: Laplace-Betrami operator

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2.$$

- ▶ (M, dx) : complete Riemannian manifold.

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \partial_j f + \sum_i g_i(x) \partial_i f.$$

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_i \bar{f} \partial_j f.$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $S_t = e^{-tL}$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \int_0^\infty |\nabla S_t f|^2 + |\partial_t S_t f|^2 dt.$$

- ▶ $G = \mathbb{F}_2$: the free group of two generators a, b .

Examples, P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

$$2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2).$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta$: Laplace-Betrami operator

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2.$$

- ▶ (M, dx) : complete Riemannian manifold.

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \partial_j f + \sum_i g_i(x) \partial_i f.$$

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_i \bar{f} \partial_j f.$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $S_t = e^{-tL}$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \int_0^\infty |\nabla S_t f|^2 + |\partial_t S_t f|^2 dt.$$

- ▶ $G = \mathbb{F}_2$: the free group of two generators a, b .

$$g = a^{k_1} b^{k_2} a^{k_3} \dots b^{k_n}, h = a^{k'_1} b^{k'_2} a^{k'_3} \dots b^{k'_n} \in \mathbb{F}_2$$

Examples, P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

$$2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2).$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta$: Laplace-Betrami operator

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2.$$

- ▶ (M, dx) : complete Riemannian manifold.

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \partial_j f + \sum_i g_i(x) \partial_i f.$$

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_i \bar{f} \partial_j f.$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $S_t = e^{-tL}$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \int_0^\infty |\nabla S_t f|^2 + |\partial_t S_t f|^2 dt.$$

- ▶ $G = \mathbb{F}_2$: the free group of two generators a, b .

$$g = a^{k_1} b^{k_2} a^{k_3} \dots b^{k_n}, h = a^{k'_1} b^{k'_2} a^{k'_3} \dots b^{k'_n} \in \mathbb{F}_2$$

$$\|g\|^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + \dots k_n^2.$$

Examples, P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

$$2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2).$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta$: Laplace-Betrami operator

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2.$$

- ▶ (M, dx) : complete Riemannian manifold.

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \partial_j f + \sum_i g_i(x) \partial_i f.$$

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_i \bar{f} \partial_j f.$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $S_t = e^{-tL}$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \int_0^\infty |\nabla S_t f|^2 + |\partial_t S_t f|^2 dt.$$

- ▶ $G = \mathbb{F}_2$: the free group of two generators a, b .

$$g = a^{k_1} b^{k_2} a^{k_3} \dots b^{k_n}, h = a^{k'_1} b^{k'_2} a^{k'_3} \dots b^{k'_n} \in \mathbb{F}_2$$

$$\|g\|^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + \dots k_n^2.$$

$$L : \lambda_g \mapsto \|g\|^2 \lambda_g$$

Examples, P. A. Meyer's Gradient form

$$2\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = -L(\bar{f}_1 f_2) + L(\bar{f}_1) f_2 + \bar{f}_1 L(f_2).$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta$: Laplace-Betrami operator

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla f|^2.$$

- ▶ (M, dx) : complete Riemannian manifold.

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \partial_j f + \sum_i g_i(x) \partial_i f.$$

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_i \bar{f} \partial_j f.$$

- ▶ $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $S_t = e^{-tL}$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \int_0^\infty |\nabla S_t f|^2 + |\partial_t S_t f|^2 dt.$$

- ▶ $G = \mathbb{F}_2$: the free group of two generators a, b .

$$g = a^{k_1} b^{k_2} a^{k_3} \dots b^{k_n}, h = a^{k'_1} b^{k'_2} a^{k'_3} \dots b^{k'_n} \in \mathbb{F}_2$$

$$\|g\|^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + \dots k_n^2.$$

$$L : \lambda_g \mapsto \|g\|^2 \lambda_g$$

$$\Gamma(\lambda_g, \lambda_h) = (\sum_{i=1}^j k_i k'_i) \lambda_{g^{-1}h} \text{ with}$$

j the first integer that $k_j \neq k'_j$.

L_p Fourier multipliers on Discrete Groups

G : (nonabelian) discrete group. e.g. $G = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_2$,

$\delta_g, g \in G$: the canonical basis of $\ell_2(G)$.

L_p Fourier multipliers on Discrete Groups

G : (nonabelian) discrete group. e.g. $G = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_2$,

$\delta_g, g \in G$: the canonical basis of $\ell_2(G)$.

λ_g : left regular representation of G on $\ell_2(G)$,

$$\lambda_g(\delta_h) = \delta_{gh}, \quad \text{for } g, h \in G.$$

$L_\infty(\hat{G})$: the w^* closure of $\text{Span}\{\lambda_g\}$'s in $B(\ell_2(G))$.

L_p Fourier multipliers on Discrete Groups

G : (nonabelian) discrete group. e.g. $G = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_2$,

$\delta_g, g \in G$: the canonical basis of $\ell_2(G)$.

λ_g : left regular representation of G on $\ell_2(G)$,

$$\lambda_g(\delta_h) = \delta_{gh}, \quad \text{for } g, h \in G.$$

$L_\infty(\hat{G})$: the w^* closure of $\text{Span}\{\lambda_g\}$'s in $B(\ell_2(G))$.

Example: $G = \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_k = e^{ik\theta}, L_\infty(\hat{G}) = L_\infty(\mathbb{T})$.

τ : For $f = \sum_g f_g \lambda_g$,

$$\tau f = f_e.$$

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\hat{G})} = [\tau(|f|^p)]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

L_p Fourier multipliers on Discrete Groups

G : (nonabelian) discrete group. e.g. $G = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_2$,

$\delta_g, g \in G$: the canonical basis of $\ell_2(G)$.

λ_g : left regular representation of G on $\ell_2(G)$,

$$\lambda_g(\delta_h) = \delta_{gh}, \quad \text{for } g, h \in G.$$

$L_\infty(\hat{G})$: the w^* closure of $\text{Span}\{\lambda_g\}$'s in $B(\ell_2(G))$.

Example: $G = \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_k = e^{ik\theta}, L_\infty(\hat{G}) = L_\infty(\mathbb{T})$.

τ : For $f = \sum_g f_g \lambda_g$,

$$\tau f = f_e.$$

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\hat{G})} = [\tau(|f|^p)]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

Example: $G = \mathbb{Z}, \tau f = \hat{f}(0) = \int f, L_p(\hat{G}) = L_p(\mathbb{T})$.

L_p Fourier multipliers on Discrete Groups

G : (nonabelian) discrete group. e.g. $G = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_2$,

$\delta_g, g \in G$: the canonical basis of $\ell_2(G)$.

λ_g : left regular representation of G on $\ell_2(G)$,

$$\lambda_g(\delta_h) = \delta_{gh}, \quad \text{for } g, h \in G.$$

$L_\infty(\hat{G})$: the w^* closure of $\text{Span}\{\lambda_g\}$'s in $B(\ell_2(G))$.

Example: $G = \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_k = e^{ik\theta}, L_\infty(\hat{G}) = L_\infty(\mathbb{T})$.

τ : For $f = \sum_g f_g \lambda_g$,

$$\tau f = f_e.$$

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\hat{G})} = [\tau(|f|^p)]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

Example: $G = \mathbb{Z}, \tau f = \hat{f}(0) = \int f, L_p(\hat{G}) = L_p(\mathbb{T})$.

Question Is the fourier multiplier $\lambda_g \mapsto \frac{k_1}{\|g\|} \lambda_g$, for $g = a^{k_1} b^{k_2} a^{k_3} b^{k_4} \dots a^{k_n} \in \mathbb{F}_2$, (completely) bounded on $L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_2) (1 < p < \infty)$?

Semiclassical Riesz transform—P.A. Meyer's question

Theorem (D.Bakry for diffusion S_t 1986;) Assume L generates a diffusion Markov semigroup (on commutative L_p spaces) satisfying $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$, then

$$\|\Gamma(f, f)\|_{L_{\frac{p}{2}}}^2 \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p}$$

holds for any $1 < p < \infty$.

Semiclassical Riesz transform—P.A. Meyer's question

Theorem (D. Bakry for diffusion S_t 1986;) Assume L generates a diffusion Markov semigroup (on commutative L_p spaces) satisfying $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$, then

$$\|\Gamma(f, f)\|_{L_{\frac{p}{2}}}^2 \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p}$$

holds for any $1 < p < \infty$.

P. A. Meyer, D. Bakry, M. Emery, X. D. Li, F. Baudoin-N. Garofalo, etc.

Semiclassical Riesz transform—P.A. Meyer's question

Theorem (D. Bakry for diffusion S_t 1986;) Assume L generates a diffusion Markov semigroup (on commutative L_p spaces) satisfying $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$, then

$$\|\Gamma(f, f)\|_{L_{\frac{p}{2}}}^2 \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p}$$

holds for any $1 < p < \infty$.

P. A. Meyer, D. Bakry, M. Emery, X. D. Li, F. Baudoin-N. Garofalo, etc.

Junge; Junge/M 2010 noncommutative extension for $p > 2$.

Poisson semigroups and Fractional power of Δ

f : L^p function on \mathbb{R}^n .

Poisson semigroups and Fractional power of Δ

f : L^p function on \mathbb{R}^n .

$F(x, t) = P_t f(x)$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Poisson semigroups and Fractional power of Δ

f : L^p function on \mathbb{R}^n .

$F(x, t) = P_t f(x)$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

P_t : Poisson semigroup $P_t f = e^{-t\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} f$.

Poisson semigroups and Fractional power of Δ

f : L^p function on \mathbb{R}^n .

$F(x, t) = P_t f(x)$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

P_t : Poisson semigroup $P_t f = e^{-t\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} f$.

P_t is a **non** diffusion semigroup in D. Bakry's sense.

Poisson semigroups and Fractional power of Δ

f : L^p function on \mathbb{R}^n .

$F(x, t) = P_t f(x)$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

P_t : Poisson semigroup $P_t f = e^{-t\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} f$.

P_t is a **non** diffusion semigroup in D. Bakry's sense.

Let $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For $p \leq \frac{2n}{n+1}$, and any Schwarz function f on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\|\Gamma(f, f)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} = \infty$$

while

$$\|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^p} < \infty.$$

Poisson semigroups and Fractional power of Δ

f : L^p function on \mathbb{R}^n .

$F(x, t) = P_t f(x)$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

P_t : Poisson semigroup $P_t f = e^{-t\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} f$.

P_t is a **non** diffusion semigroup in D. Bakry's sense.

Let $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For $p \leq \frac{2n}{n+1}$, and any Schwarz function f on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\|\Gamma(f, f)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} = \infty$$

while

$$\|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^p} < \infty.$$

How to formulate a Riesz transform inequality associated with the classical Poisson semigroup?

Poisson semigroups and Fractional power of Δ

f : L^p function on \mathbb{R}^n .

$F(x, t) = P_t f(x)$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

P_t : Poisson semigroup $P_t f = e^{-t\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} f$.

P_t is a **non** diffusion semigroup in D. Bakry's sense.

Let $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For $p \leq \frac{2n}{n+1}$, and any Schwarz function f on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\|\Gamma(f, f)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}} = \infty$$

while

$$\|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^p} < \infty.$$

How to formulate a Riesz transform inequality associated with the classical Poisson semigroup?

Observation $e^{i\xi \cdot} \mapsto e^{-t|\xi|} e^{i\xi \cdot}$ is a Markov semigroup

$\rightarrow \phi(\xi) = |\xi|$ is a conditionally negative function on \mathbb{R}^n

\rightarrow there is an embedding $b : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto H$ such that

$$|\xi - \eta| = \|b(\xi) - b(\eta)\|^2.$$

Riesz transforms via cocycles

G : locally compact (abelian) group (e.g. discrete group)

Riesz transforms via cocycles

G : locally compact (abelian) group (e.g. discrete group)

(b, α, H) : 1-cocycle of group actions on Hilbert space H ,

Riesz transforms via cocycles

G : locally compact (abelian) group (e.g. discrete group)

(b, α, H) : 1-cocycle of group actions on Hilbert space H ,

i.e. $b : G \mapsto H, \alpha : G \mapsto \text{Aut}(H), \alpha_g b(g^{-1}h) = b(h) - b(g)$

Riesz transforms via cocycles

G : locally compact (abelian) group (e.g. discrete group)

(b, α, H) : 1-cocycle of group actions on Hilbert space H ,

i.e. $b : G \mapsto H, \alpha : G \mapsto \text{Aut}(H), \alpha_g b(g^{-1}h) = b(h) - b(g)$

λ_g : Fourier transform of δ_g .

Riesz transforms via cocycles

G : locally compact (abelian) group (e.g. discrete group)

(b, α, H) : 1-cocycle of group actions on Hilbert space H ,

i.e. $b : G \mapsto H, \alpha : G \mapsto \text{Aut}(H), \alpha_g b(g^{-1}h) = b(h) - b(g)$

λ_g : Fourier transform of δ_g .

$L : \lambda_g \mapsto \|b(g)\|^2 \lambda_g$ generates a Markov semigroup on $L_\infty(\widehat{G})$.

Riesz transforms via cocycles

G : locally compact (abelian) group (e.g. discrete group)

(b, α, H) : 1-cocycle of group actions on Hilbert space H ,

i.e. $b : G \mapsto H, \alpha : G \mapsto \text{Aut}(H), \alpha_g b(g^{-1}h) = b(h) - b(g)$

λ_g : Fourier transform of δ_g .

$L : \lambda_g \mapsto \|b(g)\|^2 \lambda_g$ generates a Markov semigroup on $L_\infty(\widehat{G})$. Set

$$\nabla_L : \lambda_g \rightarrow b(g)\lambda_g \in H \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{G}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\lambda_g, \lambda_h) &= \frac{\|b(g)\|^2 + \|b(h)\|^2 - \|b(g^{-1}h)\|^2}{2} \lambda_{g^{-1}h} \\ &= \langle b(g), b(h) \rangle_H \lambda_{g^{-1}h} \\ &= \nabla_L \lambda_g \cdot \nabla_L \lambda_h\end{aligned}$$

Riesz transforms via cocycles

G : locally compact (abelian) group (e.g. discrete group)

(b, α, H) : 1-cocycle of group actions on Hilbert space H ,

i.e. $b : G \mapsto H, \alpha : G \mapsto \text{Aut}(H), \alpha_g b(g^{-1}h) = b(h) - b(g)$

λ_g : Fourier transform of δ_g .

$L : \lambda_g \mapsto \|b(g)\|^2 \lambda_g$ generates a Markov semigroup on $L_\infty(\widehat{G})$. Set

$$\nabla_L : \lambda_g \rightarrow b(g)\lambda_g \in H \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{G}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\lambda_g, \lambda_h) &= \frac{\|b(g)\|^2 + \|b(h)\|^2 - \|b(g^{-1}h)\|^2}{2} \lambda_{g^{-1}h} \\ &= \langle b(g), b(h) \rangle_H \lambda_{g^{-1}h} \\ &= \nabla_L \lambda_g \cdot \nabla_L \lambda_h\end{aligned}$$

► Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n$.

$(b, \alpha, H) = (id, id, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Riesz transforms via cocycles

G : locally compact (abelian) group (e.g. discrete group)

(b, α, H) : 1-cocycle of group actions on Hilbert space H ,

i.e. $b : G \mapsto H, \alpha : G \mapsto \text{Aut}(H), \alpha_g b(g^{-1}h) = b(h) - b(g)$

λ_g : Fourier transform of δ_g .

$L : \lambda_g \mapsto \|b(g)\|^2 \lambda_g$ generates a Markov semigroup on $L_\infty(\widehat{G})$. Set

$$\nabla_L : \lambda_g \rightarrow b(g)\lambda_g \in H \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{G}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\lambda_g, \lambda_h) &= \frac{\|b(g)\|^2 + \|b(h)\|^2 - \|b(g^{-1}h)\|^2}{2} \lambda_{g^{-1}h} \\ &= \langle b(g), b(h) \rangle_H \lambda_{g^{-1}h} \\ &= \nabla_L \lambda_g \cdot \nabla_L \lambda_h\end{aligned}$$

► Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n$.

$(b, \alpha, H) = (id, id, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

$\lambda_g = e^{igx} \in L^\infty(\widehat{G}), g \in G$

Riesz transforms via cocycles

G : locally compact (abelian) group (e.g. discrete group)

(b, α, H) : 1-cocycle of group actions on Hilbert space H ,

i.e. $b : G \mapsto H, \alpha : G \mapsto \text{Aut}(H), \alpha_g b(g^{-1}h) = b(h) - b(g)$

λ_g : Fourier transform of δ_g .

$L : \lambda_g \mapsto \|b(g)\|^2 \lambda_g$ generates a Markov semigroup on $L_\infty(\widehat{G})$. Set

$$\nabla_L : \lambda_g \rightarrow b(g)\lambda_g \in H \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{G}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\lambda_g, \lambda_h) &= \frac{\|b(g)\|^2 + \|b(h)\|^2 - \|b(g^{-1}h)\|^2}{2} \lambda_{g^{-1}h} \\ &= \langle b(g), b(h) \rangle_H \lambda_{g^{-1}h} \\ &= \nabla_L \lambda_g \cdot \nabla_L \lambda_h\end{aligned}$$

► Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n$.

$(b, \alpha, H) = (id, id, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

$\lambda_g = e^{igx} \in L^\infty(\widehat{G}), g \in G$

$L = \Delta, \nabla_L = \partial_x$.

Cocycle for Fractional power of Laplacian

- ▶ Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $H = L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{c_n dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}})$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

Cocycle for Fractional power of Laplacian

- ▶ Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $H = L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{c_n dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}})$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$
 $b : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1 \in H$.

Cocycle for Fractional power of Laplacian

- ▶ Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $H = L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{c_n dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}})$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$
 $b : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1 \in H$.
 $\alpha_\xi : e^{i\eta \cdot x} - 1 \mapsto e^{i(\eta+\xi) \cdot x} - e^{i\xi \cdot x} \in H$.

Cocycle for Fractional power of Laplacian

► Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $H = L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{c_n dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}})$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

$$b : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1 \in H.$$

$$\alpha_\xi : e^{i\eta \cdot x} - 1 \mapsto e^{i(\eta+\xi) \cdot x} - e^{i\xi \cdot x} \in H.$$

$$\|b(\xi)\|^2 = \int |e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1|^2 \frac{c_n dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}}$$

Cocycle for Fractional power of Laplacian

► Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $H = L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{c_n dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}})$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

$$b : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1 \in H.$$

$$\alpha_\xi : e^{i\eta \cdot x} - 1 \mapsto e^{i(\eta+\xi) \cdot x} - e^{i\xi \cdot x} \in H.$$

$$\|b(\xi)\|^2 = \int |e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1|^2 \frac{c_n dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}} = |\xi|^{2\varepsilon},$$

Cocycle for Fractional power of Laplacian

► Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $H = L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{c_n dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}})$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

$$b : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1 \in H.$$

$$\alpha_\xi : e^{i\eta \cdot x} - 1 \mapsto e^{i(\eta+\xi) \cdot x} - e^{i\xi \cdot x} \in H.$$

$$\|b(\xi)\|^2 = \int |e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1|^2 \frac{c_n dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}} = |\xi|^{2\varepsilon},$$

$$L = \Delta^\varepsilon : e^{i\xi \cdot y} \rightarrow \|b(\xi)\|^2 e^{i\xi \cdot y}.$$

$$\nabla_L : e^{i\xi \cdot y} \mapsto b(\xi)e^{i\xi \cdot y} = (e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1)e^{i\xi \cdot y} \in H \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Cocycle for Fractional power of Laplacian

► Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, $H = L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{c_n dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}})$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

$$b : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1 \in H.$$

$$\alpha_\xi : e^{i\eta \cdot x} - 1 \mapsto e^{i(\eta+\xi) \cdot x} - e^{i\xi \cdot x} \in H.$$

$$\|b(\xi)\|^2 = \int |e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1|^2 \frac{c_n dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}} = |\xi|^{2\varepsilon},$$

$$L = \Delta^\varepsilon : e^{i\xi \cdot y} \rightarrow \|b(\xi)\|^2 e^{i\xi \cdot y}.$$

$$\nabla_L : e^{i\xi \cdot y} \mapsto b(\xi)e^{i\xi \cdot y} = (e^{i\xi \cdot x} - 1)e^{i\xi \cdot y} \in H \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_L e^{-i\xi \cdot y} \cdot \nabla_L e^{i\eta \cdot y} \\ &= \langle b(\xi), b(\eta) \rangle_H e^{i(-\xi+\eta) \cdot y} \\ &= \frac{-\|b(\xi) - b(\eta)\|^2 + \|b(\xi)\|^2 + \|b(\eta)\|^2}{2} e^{i(-\xi+\eta) \cdot y} \\ &= \frac{|\xi - \eta|^{2\varepsilon} - |\xi|^{2\varepsilon} - |\eta|^{2\varepsilon}}{2} e^{i(-\xi+\eta) \cdot y} \\ &= \Gamma(e^{i\xi \cdot y}, e^{i\eta \cdot y}) \end{aligned}$$

P. A. Meyer's question for Poisson semigroup revisited

v_k : orthonormal basis of H .

P. A. Meyer's question for Poisson semigroup revisited

v_k : orthonormal basis of H .

$$\partial_k : \lambda_g \mapsto \langle b(g), v_k \rangle \lambda_g.$$

P. A. Meyer's question for Poisson semigroup revisited

v_k : orthonormal basis of H .

$\partial_k : \lambda_g \mapsto \langle b(g), v_k \rangle \lambda_g$.

$$\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = \nabla_L \bar{f}_1 \cdot \nabla_L f_2 = \sum_k \partial_k \bar{f}_1 \cdot \partial_k f_2$$

P. A. Meyer's question for Poisson semigroup revisited

v_k : orthonormal basis of H .

$\partial_k : \lambda_g \mapsto \langle b(g), v_k \rangle \lambda_g$.

$\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = \nabla_L \bar{f}_1 \cdot \nabla_L f_2 = \sum_k \partial_k \bar{f}_1 \cdot \partial_k f_2$

P. A. Meyer's question is equivalent to asking

$$\left\| \left(\sum |\partial_k(f)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}?$$

P. A. Meyer's question for Poisson semigroup revisited

v_k : orthonormal basis of H .

$\partial_k : \lambda_g \mapsto \langle b(g), v_k \rangle \lambda_g$.

$\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = \nabla_L \bar{f}_1 \cdot \nabla_L f_2 = \sum_k \partial_k \bar{f}_1 \cdot \partial_k f_2$

P. A. Meyer's question is equivalent to asking

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum |\partial_k(f)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}? \\ (\text{Khintchin}) & \left\| \sum_k \partial_k(f) \gamma_k \right\|_{L_p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \simeq \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}? \end{aligned}$$

Fails for ∂_k correspondence to $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

P. A. Meyer's question for Poisson semigroup revisited

v_k : orthonormal basis of H .

$\partial_k : \lambda_g \mapsto \langle b(g), v_k \rangle \lambda_g$.

$\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = \nabla_L \bar{f}_1 \cdot \nabla_L f_2 = \sum_k \partial_k \bar{f}_1 \cdot \partial_k f_2$

P. A. Meyer's question is equivalent to asking

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum |\partial_k(f)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}? \\ (\text{Khintchin}) & \left\| \sum_k \partial_k(f) \gamma_k \right\|_{L_p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \simeq \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}? \end{aligned}$$

Fails for ∂_k correspondence to $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Recall \mathbb{R}^n acts on $H \simeq L_2(\Omega)$. Revision of the question

$$\left\| \sum_k \partial_k(f) \cdot \gamma_k \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times L_2(\Omega))} \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}?$$

P. A. Meyer's question for Poisson semigroup revisited

v_k : orthonormal basis of H .

$\partial_k : \lambda_g \mapsto \langle b(g), v_k \rangle \lambda_g$.

$\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = \nabla_L \bar{f}_1 \cdot \nabla_L f_2 = \sum_k \partial_k \bar{f}_1 \cdot \partial_k f_2$

P. A. Meyer's question is equivalent to asking

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum |\partial_k(f)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}? \\ \text{(Khintchin)} \quad & \left\| \sum_k \partial_k(f) \gamma_k \right\|_{L_p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \simeq \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}? \end{aligned}$$

Fails for ∂_k correspondence to $L = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Recall \mathbb{R}^n acts on $H \simeq L_2(\Omega)$. Revision of the question

$$\left\| \sum_k \partial_k(f) \cdot \gamma_k \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes L_2(\Omega))} \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}?$$

$L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes L_2(\Omega))$ is the L_p space of functions on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with a noncommutative product rule

$$(e^{i\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} \cdot \gamma_k)(e^{i\langle \eta, \cdot \rangle} \cdot \gamma_j) = e^{i\langle \xi + \eta, \cdot \rangle} \cdot (\alpha_\eta(\gamma_k) \gamma_j).$$

L_p bound of Riesz transforms via cocycles

Theorem (Junge/M/Parcet, 2014) For any discrete group G with a cocycle (b, H, α) , we have

$$\left\| \sum_k \partial_k(f) \cdot \gamma_k \right\|_{L_p(G \times L_2(\Omega))} \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\hat{G})}$$

for any $1 < p < \infty$ and γ_k independent centered gaussian random variables.

L_p bound of Riesz transforms via cocycles

Theorem (Junge/M/Parcet, 2014) For any discrete group G with a cocycle (b, H, α) , we have

$$\left\| \sum_k \partial_k(f) \cdot \gamma_k \right\|_{L_p(G \times L_2(\Omega))} \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\hat{G})}$$

for any $1 < p < \infty$ and γ_k independent centered gaussian random variables.

The equivalence constant **only** depends on p .

Pisier's rotation formula.

L_p bound of Riesz transforms via cocycles

Theorem (Junge/M/Parcet, 2014) For any discrete group G with a cocycle (b, H, α) , we have

$$\left\| \left(\sum_k |\partial_k f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})} + \left\| \left(\sum_k |\partial_k f^*|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})} \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})},$$

for any $p \geq 2$.

L_p bound of Riesz transforms via cocycles

Theorem (Junge/M/Parcet, 2014) For any discrete group G with a cocycle (b, H, α) , we have

$$\left\| \left(\sum_k |\partial_k f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})} + \left\| \left(\sum_k |\partial_k f^*|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})} \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})},$$

for any $p \geq 2$. And

$$\|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})} \simeq \inf_{\partial_j f = a_j + b_j} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j^* a_j \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})} + \left\| \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{b}_j \tilde{b}_j^* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})},$$

for any $1 < p < 2$.

L_p bound of Riesz transforms via cocycles

Theorem (Junge/M/Parcet, 2014) For any discrete group G with a cocycle (b, H, α) , we have

$$\left\| \left(\sum_k |\partial_k f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})} + \left\| \left(\sum_k |\partial_k f^*|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})} \simeq \|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})},$$

for any $p \geq 2$. And

$$\|L^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})} \simeq \inf_{\partial_j f = a_j + b_j} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j^* a_j \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})} + \left\| \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{b}_j \tilde{b}_j^* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\widehat{G})},$$

for any $1 < p < 2$. The equivalence constant **only** depends on p .

Khintchine inequality for crossed products.

\tilde{b}_j is a twist of b_j coming from the Khintchine inequality for crossed products.

Riesz transform on free groups

$G = \mathbb{F}_2$: the free group of two generators a, b .

$\|g\|^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + \dots k_n^2$ for $g = a^{k_1} b^{k_2} a^{k_3} b^{k_4} \dots a^{k_n}$.

$L : \lambda_g \mapsto \|g\|^2 \lambda_g$ generates a Markov semigroup on $VN(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Riesz transform on free groups

$G = \mathbb{F}_2$: the free group of two generators a, b .

$\|g\|^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + \dots k_n^2$ for $g = a^{k_1} b^{k_2} a^{k_3} b^{k_4} \dots a^{k_n}$.

$L : \lambda_g \mapsto \|g\|^2 \lambda_g$ generates a Markov semigroup on $VN(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Question Is the following fourier multiplier (completely) bounded on $L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_2)$?

$$\lambda_g \mapsto \left(\frac{k_1}{\|g\|} \lambda_g, \dots, \frac{k_i}{\|g\|} \lambda_g, \dots, \frac{k_n}{\|g\|} \lambda_g \right).$$

Riesz transform on free groups

For $g = a^{k_1} b^{k_2} a^{k_3} b^{k_4} \dots a^{k_n}$, $h = a^{k'_1} b^{k'_2} a^{k'_3} b^{k'_4} \dots a^{k'_n}$

$$\Gamma(\lambda_g, \lambda_h) = \frac{1}{2}(\|g\|^2 + \|h\|^2 - \|g^{-1}h\|^2)\lambda_{g^{-1}h} = \sum_{i=1}^j k_i k'_i \lambda_{g^{-1}h}$$

with j the first integer such that $k_j \neq k'_j$.

Riesz transform on free groups

For $g = a^{k_1} b^{k_2} a^{k_3} b^{k_4} \dots a^{k_n}$, $h = a^{k'_1} b^{k'_2} a^{k'_3} b^{k'_4} \dots a^{k'_n}$

$$\Gamma(\lambda_g, \lambda_h) = \frac{1}{2}(\|g\|^2 + \|h\|^2 - \|g^{-1}h\|^2)\lambda_{g^{-1}h} = \sum_{i=1}^j k_i k'_i \lambda_{g^{-1}h}$$

with j the first integer such that $k_j \neq k'_j$.

$\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = \nabla_L f_1 \cdot \nabla_L f_2$ with $\nabla_L = (\dots \partial_h, \dots)$ $h \in \mathbb{F}_2$

$$\partial_a(\lambda_g) = k_1, \partial_{a^{k_1}b}(\lambda_g) = k_2, \partial_{a^{k_1}b^{k_2}a}(\lambda_g) = k_3, \dots$$

and $\partial_h(\lambda_g) = 0$ for all other h .

Riesz transform on free groups

For $g = a^{k_1} b^{k_2} a^{k_3} b^{k_4} \dots a^{k_n}$, $h = a^{k'_1} b^{k'_2} a^{k'_3} b^{k'_4} \dots a^{k'_n}$

$$\Gamma(\lambda_g, \lambda_h) = \frac{1}{2}(\|g\|^2 + \|h\|^2 - \|g^{-1}h\|^2)\lambda_{g^{-1}h} = \sum_{i=1}^j k_i k'_i \lambda_{g^{-1}h}$$

with j the first integer such that $k_j \neq k'_j$.

$\Gamma(f_1, f_2) = \nabla_L f_1 \cdot \nabla_L f_2$ with $\nabla_L = (\dots \partial_h, \dots)$ $h \in \mathbb{F}_2$

$$\partial_a(\lambda_g) = k_1, \partial_{a^{k_1}b}(\lambda_g) = k_2, \partial_{a^{k_1}b^{k_2}a}(\lambda_g) = k_3, \dots$$

and $\partial_h(\lambda_g) = 0$ for all other h .

Our main theorem says that $\nabla_L L^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is bounded on $L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2)$ in the sense that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_h |\partial_h L^{-\frac{1}{2}} f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2)} + \left\| \left(\sum_h |\partial_h L^{-\frac{1}{2}} f^*|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2)} \simeq \|f\|_{L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2)}$$

for $2 \leq p < \infty$, f being linear combinations of λ_g .

Classical Hörmander-Mihlin multipliers are averages of Riesz transforms

► Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$H = L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}}). \quad b : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow e^{i\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} - 1 \in H.$$

$$\|b(\xi)\|^2 = |\xi|^{2\varepsilon},$$

$$L = -\Delta^\varepsilon : e^{i\xi x} \rightarrow -|\xi|^{2\varepsilon} e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle}.$$

Classical Hörmander-Mihlin multipliers are averages of Riesz transforms

- ▶ Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$H = L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{dx}{|x|^{n+2\varepsilon}}). \quad b : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow e^{i\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle} - 1 \in H.$$

$$\|b(\xi)\|^2 = |\xi|^{2\varepsilon},$$

$$L = -\Delta^\varepsilon : e^{i\xi x} \rightarrow -|\xi|^{2\varepsilon} e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle}.$$

- ▶ For $v \in H$, let

$$R_v : e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \rightarrow \frac{\langle b(\xi), v \rangle}{\|b(\xi)\|} e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle}.$$

Given $T_m : \int \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \rightarrow \int \hat{f}(\xi) m(\xi) e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} d\xi$, then

$$T_m = R_v$$

with

$$v(x) = |x|^{n+2\varepsilon} \widehat{m(\cdot)} \cdot |^\varepsilon.$$

Hörmander-Mihlin multipliers on a branch of free groups

Given a branch B of $G = \mathbb{F}_\infty$, let

$$L_p(\widehat{\mathbf{B}}) = \left\{ f = \sum_{g \in B} a_g \lambda_g; \|f\|_{L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})} = (\tau |f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

For $m : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let

$$T_m f = \sum_{g \in B} m(g) a_g \lambda_g.$$

Hörmander-Mihlin multipliers on a branch of free groups

Given a branch B of $G = \mathbb{F}_\infty$, let

$$L_p(\widehat{\mathbf{B}}) = \left\{ f = \sum_{g \in B} a_g \lambda_g; \|f\|_{L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})} = (\tau |f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

For $m : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let

$$T_m f = \sum_{g \in B} m(g) a_g \lambda_g.$$

Theorem (Junge/M/Parcet, 2014)

Suppose $m : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} |m(j)| + j|m(j) - m(j-1)| < c$$

then

$$\|T_m f\|_{L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})} \lesssim_{c(p)} c \|f\|_{L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})}$$

for any $f \in L_p(\widehat{\mathbf{B}})$.

Littlewood-Paley estimates.

Theorem (Junge/M /Parcet, 2014) Consider a standard Littlewood-Paley partition of unity $(\varphi_j)_{j \geq 1}$ in \mathbb{R}_+ . Let $\Lambda_j : \lambda(g) \mapsto \sqrt{\varphi_j(|g|)}\lambda(g)$ denote the corresponding radial multipliers in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. Then, the following estimates hold for $f \in L_p(\widehat{\mathbf{B}})$ and $1 < p < 2$

$$\inf_{\Lambda_j f = a_j + b_j} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j^* a_j + \tilde{b}_j \tilde{b}_j^* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})} \lesssim_{c(p)} \|f\|_{L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})},$$

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})} \lesssim_{c(p)} \inf_{\Lambda_j f = a_j + b_j} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j^* a_j + b_j b_j^* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})}.$$