

Approximately order zero maps between C^* -algebras

TOMASZ KOCHANEK

University of Warsaw/IM PAN
Warsaw, Poland

Banach Spaces & Optimization
On the occasion of Robert Deville's 60th birthday

Métabief, France
June 16–21, 2019

Order zero maps

Let A be a C^* -algebra. We say that elements $a, b \in A$ are **orthogonal** if

$$ab = ba = a^*b = ab^* = 0.$$

So, if a and b are self-adjoint, then $a \perp b$ iff $ab = 0$.

Order zero maps

Let A be a C^* -algebra. We say that elements $a, b \in A$ are **orthogonal** if

$$ab = ba = a^*b = ab^* = 0.$$

So, if a and b are self-adjoint, then $a \perp b$ iff $ab = 0$.

Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a completely positive (c.p.) map, that is, the induced map $\phi^{(n)}: \mathbb{M}_n(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(B)$ acting between the matrix algebras is positive for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Order zero maps

Let A be a C^* -algebra. We say that elements $a, b \in A$ are **orthogonal** if

$$ab = ba = a^*b = ab^* = 0.$$

So, if a and b are self-adjoint, then $a \perp b$ iff $ab = 0$.

Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a completely positive (c.p.) map, that is, the induced map $\phi^{(n)}: \mathbb{M}_n(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(B)$ acting between the matrix algebras is positive for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that ϕ is of **order zero** provided that

$$a \perp b \implies \phi(a) \perp \phi(b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A_+$$

(and then the same holds true for general elements $a, b \in A$).

Order zero maps

vs. disjointness preserving maps

M. Wolff, *Disjointness preserving operators on C^* -algebras*, Arch. Math. **62** (1994), 248–253.

A bounded and linear operator $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is called **disjointness preserving**, provided that

- $\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a)^*$ for every $a \in A$ and

Order zero maps

vs. disjointness preserving maps

M. Wolff, *Disjointness preserving operators on C^* -algebras*, Arch. Math. **62** (1994), 248–253.

A bounded and linear operator $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is called **disjointness preserving**, provided that

- $\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a)^*$ for every $a \in A$ and
- $\varphi(a)\varphi(b) = 0$ whenever $a, b \in A_{\text{sa}}$ (self-adjoint elements of A) and $ab = 0$.

Order zero maps

vs. disjointness preserving maps

M. Wolff, *Disjointness preserving operators on C^* -algebras*, Arch. Math. **62** (1994), 248–253.

A bounded and linear operator $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is called **disjointness preserving**, provided that

- $\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a)^*$ for every $a \in A$ and
- $\varphi(a)\varphi(b) = 0$ whenever $a, b \in A_{\text{sa}}$ (self-adjoint elements of A) and $ab = 0$.

These two notions are very similar and thus there are similar representations theorem for both of them: roughly speaking, order zero and disjointness preserving maps are ‘*compressions*’ of some $*$ -homomorphism/Jordan $*$ -homomorphism.

Disjointness preserving maps

Representation theorems

Recall that a map $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, acting between two C^* -algebras, is called a **Jordan $*$ -homomorphism** if

Disjointness preserving maps

Representation theorems

Recall that a map $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, acting between two C^* -algebras, is called a **Jordan *-homomorphism** if it is linear, symmetric (i.e. $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$) and preserves the Jordan product

$$x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$$

(the last condition may be replaced by $\varphi(x^2) = \varphi(x)^2$).

Disjointness preserving maps

Representation theorems

Recall that a map $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, acting between two C^* -algebras, is called a **Jordan $*$ -homomorphism** if it is linear, symmetric (i.e. $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$) and preserves the Jordan product

$$x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$$

(the last condition may be replaced by $\varphi(x^2) = \varphi(x)^2$). It is well-known, and goes back to W. Żelazko (1968), that for $B = \mathbb{C}$ every Jordan $*$ -homomorphism is a ring homomorphism, so actually it is a linear multiplicative functional.

Disjointness preserving maps

Representation theorems

Recall that a map $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, acting between two C^* -algebras, is called a **Jordan $*$ -homomorphism** if it is linear, symmetric (i.e. $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$) and preserves the Jordan product

$$x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$$

(the last condition may be replaced by $\varphi(x^2) = \varphi(x)^2$). It is well-known, and goes back to W. Żelazko (1968), that for $B = \mathbb{C}$ every Jordan $*$ -homomorphism is a ring homomorphism, so actually it is a linear multiplicative functional. By the Jacobson–Rickart theorem, every Jordan homomorphism on a *locally matrix* algebra is the sum of a homomorphism and an antihomomorphism.

Disjointness preserving maps

Representation theorems

Recall that a map $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, acting between two C^* -algebras, is called a **Jordan $*$ -homomorphism** if it is linear, symmetric (i.e. $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$) and preserves the Jordan product

$$x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$$

(the last condition may be replaced by $\varphi(x^2) = \varphi(x)^2$). It is well-known, and goes back to W. Żelazko (1968), that for $B = \mathbb{C}$ every Jordan $*$ -homomorphism is a ring homomorphism, so actually it is a linear multiplicative functional. By the Jacobson–Rickart theorem, every Jordan homomorphism on a *locally matrix* algebra is the sum of a homomorphism and an antihomomorphism.

Theorem A (Wolff, 1994)

Let A and B be unital C^* -algebras and $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a disjointness preserving map with $\varphi(\mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbf{1}_B$. Then, φ is a Jordan $*$ -homomorphism.

Disjointness preserving maps

Representation theorems

For any subset C of a C^* -algebra B , denote by C' its **commutant**, that is,

$$C' = \{a \in B : ab = ba \text{ for every } b \in C\}.$$

Let also $\mathcal{M}(B)$ stand for the **multiplier algebra** of B .

Disjointness preserving maps

Representation theorems

For any subset C of a C^* -algebra B , denote by C' its **commutant**, that is,

$$C' = \{a \in B : ab = ba \text{ for every } b \in C\}.$$

Let also $\mathcal{M}(B)$ stand for the **multiplier algebra** of B .

Theorem A' (Wolff, 1994)

Let A and B be C^* -algebras with A being unital. Let also $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a disjointness preserving map and set

$$C = \overline{\varphi(\mathbf{1}_A)\{\varphi(\mathbf{1}_A)\}'}$$

Disjointness preserving maps

Representation theorems

For any subset C of a C^* -algebra B , denote by C' its **commutant**, that is,

$$C' = \{a \in B : ab = ba \text{ for every } b \in C\}.$$

Let also $\mathcal{M}(B)$ stand for the **multiplier algebra** of B .

Theorem A' (Wolff, 1994)

Let A and B be C^* -algebras with A being unital. Let also $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a disjointness preserving map and set

$$C = \overline{\varphi(\mathbf{1}_A)\{\varphi(\mathbf{1}_A)\}'}$$

Then:

- (1) $\varphi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq C$;
- (2) there exists a Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $\psi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C)$ such that $\psi(\mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(C)}$ and $\varphi(x) \equiv \varphi(\mathbf{1}_A)\psi(x)$.

Order zero maps

Representation theorems

W. Winter, J. Zacharias, *Completely positive maps of order zero*, Münster J. Math. **2** (2009), 311–324.

Order zero maps

Representation theorems

W. Winter, J. Zacharias, *Completely positive maps of order zero*, Münster J. Math. **2** (2009), 311–324.

Theorem B (Winter & Zacharias, 2009)

Let A and B be C^* -algebras and $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ a c.p. order zero map. Let also $\mathcal{C} = C^*(\varphi(A)) \subseteq B$ (the C^* -algebra generated by the range of φ). Then, there exists a positive element $h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}) \cap \mathcal{C}'$ satisfying $\|h\| = \|\varphi\|$ and a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}) \cap \{h\}'$$

such that

$$\varphi(a) = \pi(a)h \quad \text{for every } a \in A.$$

Order zero maps

Representation theorems

W. Winter, J. Zacharias, *Completely positive maps of order zero*, Münster J. Math. **2** (2009), 311–324.

Theorem B (Winter & Zacharias, 2009)

Let A and B be C^* -algebras and $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ a c.p. order zero map. Let also $\mathcal{C} = C^*(\varphi(A)) \subseteq B$ (the C^* -algebra generated by the range of φ). Then, there exists a positive element $h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}) \cap \mathcal{C}'$ satisfying $\|h\| = \|\varphi\|$ and a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}) \cap \{h\}'$$

such that

$$\varphi(a) = \pi(a)h \quad \text{for every } a \in A.$$

Moreover, if A is unital, then we have $h = \varphi(\mathbf{1}_A) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Approximately order zero maps

Let A, B be C^* -algebras, $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ a bounded linear operator and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. We say that ϕ is an ε -order zero map (ε -o.z. for short) if it satisfies the condition

$$x, y \in A_+, x \perp y \implies \|\phi(x)\phi(y)\| \leq \varepsilon\|x\|\|y\|. \quad (1)$$

We say ϕ is ε -self-adjoint (ε -s.a. for short) if it satisfies

$$\|\phi(x^*) - \phi(x)^*\| \leq \varepsilon\|x\| \quad \text{for every } x \in A.$$

Finally, we call ϕ an ε -disjointness preserving map (ε -d.p. for short), provided it is both ε -o.z. and ε -s.a.

Ulam's stability problem

We say that a pair (A, B) of C^* -algebras has an **Ulam stability property for d.p. maps**, provided the following condition holds true:

Ulam's stability problem

We say that a pair (A, B) of C^* -algebras has an **Ulam stability property for d.p. maps**, provided the following condition holds true: For all $\delta, K > 0$ we may pick $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta, K) > 0$ such that for any ε -d.p. map $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ with $\|\phi\| \leq K$ there exists a d.p. map $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ satisfying $\|\phi - \psi\| < \delta$.

Ulam's stability problem

We say that a pair (A, B) of C^* -algebras has an **Ulam stability property for d.p. maps**, provided the following condition holds true: For all $\delta, K > 0$ we may pick $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta, K) > 0$ such that for any ε -d.p. map $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ with $\|\phi\| \leq K$ there exists a d.p. map $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ satisfying $\|\phi - \psi\| < \delta$.

This definition is exactly analogous to B.E. Johnson's definition of an 'AMNM pair' (of Banach algebras) which corresponds to approximately multiplicative maps.

Ulam's stability problem

We say that a pair (A, B) of C^* -algebras has an **Ulam stability property for d.p. maps**, provided the following condition holds true: For all $\delta, K > 0$ we may pick $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta, K) > 0$ such that for any ε -d.p. map $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ with $\|\phi\| \leq K$ there exists a d.p. map $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ satisfying $\|\phi - \psi\| < \delta$.

This definition is exactly analogous to B.E. Johnson's definition of an 'AMNM pair' (of Banach algebras) which corresponds to approximately multiplicative maps.

Main result

If A is a nuclear C^* -algebra and B is a von Neumann algebra, then (A, B) has the Ulam stability property for d.p. maps.

Stability in the commutative case

Let X, Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and $C(X), C(Y)$ be the Banach spaces of continuous (complex valued) functions with the supremum norm (that is, commutative C^* -algebras).

Stability in the commutative case

Let X, Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and $C(X), C(Y)$ be the Banach spaces of continuous (complex valued) functions with the supremum norm (that is, commutative C^* -algebras).

Let $\varphi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ be a linear map.

Stability in the commutative case

Let X, Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and $C(X), C(Y)$ be the Banach spaces of continuous (complex valued) functions with the supremum norm (that is, commutative C^* -algebras).

Let $\varphi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ be a linear map.

- We say (as above) that φ is **disjointness preserving** if

$$fg = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \varphi(f)\varphi(g) = 0 \quad \text{for } f, g \in C(X).$$

Stability in the commutative case

Let X, Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and $C(X), C(Y)$ be the Banach spaces of continuous (complex valued) functions with the supremum norm (that is, commutative C^* -algebras).

Let $\varphi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ be a linear map.

- We say (as above) that φ is **disjointness preserving** if

$$fg = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \varphi(f)\varphi(g) = 0 \quad \text{for } f, g \in C(X).$$

- We say that φ is **ε -disjointness preserving** if

$$fg = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \|\varphi(f)\varphi(g)\| \leq \varepsilon \|f\| \|g\| \quad \text{for } f, g \in C(X)$$

(where $\varepsilon \geq 0$ is a fixed number).

G. Dolinar, *Stability of disjointness preserving mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2001), 129–138.

G. Dolinar, *Stability of disjointness preserving mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2001), 129–138.

Theorem C (Dolinar, 2001)

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. If φ is a continuous and ε -disjointness preserving linear functional on $C(X)$, then there exists a (continuous) disjointness preserving linear functional ψ on $C(X)$ such that

$$|\varphi(f) - \psi(f)| \leq 3\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|f\| \quad \text{for every } f \in C(X).$$

Stability in the commutative case

By using the above theorem and the fact that we have an abundance of linear multiplicative functionals on $C(Y)$, Dolinar obtained also the following result:

Stability in the commutative case

By using the above theorem and the fact that we have an abundance of linear multiplicative functionals on $C(Y)$, Dolinar obtained also the following result:

Theorem C' (Dolinar, 2001)

Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Let also $\varphi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ be an ε -disjointness preserving linear operator and assume that

- φ is continuous, or
- φ is surjective.

Then, there exists a disjointness preserving linear operator $\psi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ such that

$$\|\varphi(f) - \psi(f)\| \leq 20\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|f\| \quad \text{for every } f \in C(X).$$

Stability in the commutative case

An operator $\psi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ is called a *weighted composition map*, provided that there exist $\alpha \in C(Y)$ and a map $h: Y \rightarrow X$ which is continuous on the set $\{y \in Y: \alpha(y) \neq 0\}$ such that

$$\psi(f)(y) = \alpha(y)f(h(y)) \quad \text{for all } f \in C(X), y \in Y.$$

Stability in the commutative case

An operator $\psi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ is called a *weighted composition map*, provided that there exist $\alpha \in C(Y)$ and a map $h: Y \rightarrow X$ which is continuous on the set $\{y \in Y: \alpha(y) \neq 0\}$ such that

$$\psi(f)(y) = \alpha(y)f(h(y)) \quad \text{for all } f \in C(X), y \in Y.$$

The class of all weighted composition maps coincides with the class of all **continuous**, linear, disjointness preserving operators acting between $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$.

Stability in the commutative case

J. Araujo, J.J. Font, *Stability of weighted composition operators between spaces of continuous functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **79** (2009), 363–376.

Stability in the commutative case

J. Araujo, J.J. Font, *Stability of weighted composition operators between spaces of continuous functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **79** (2009), 363–376.

Theorem D (Araujo & Font, 2009)

Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{2}{17}$. Then, for every ε -disjointness preserving linear operator $\varphi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$ there exists a weighted composition map $\psi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ such that

$$\|\varphi - \psi\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{17\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

Stability in the commutative case

J. Araujo, J.J. Font, *Stability of weighted composition operators between spaces of continuous functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **79** (2009), 363–376.

Theorem D (Araujo & Font, 2009)

Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{2}{17}$. Then, for every ε -disjointness preserving linear operator $\varphi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$ there exists a weighted composition map $\psi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ such that

$$\|\varphi - \psi\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{17\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

Moreover, for each $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{2}{17})$ there exists a norm one ε -disjointness preserving operator φ such that $\|\varphi - \psi\| \geq \sqrt{\frac{17\varepsilon}{2}}$ for every weighted composition map ψ .

Stability in the commutative case

J. Araujo, J.J. Font, *Stability of weighted composition operators between spaces of continuous functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **79** (2009), 363–376.

Theorem D (Araujo & Font, 2009)

Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{2}{17}$. Then, for every ε -disjointness preserving linear operator $\varphi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$ there exists a weighted composition map $\psi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ such that

$$\|\varphi - \psi\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{17\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

Moreover, for each $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{2}{17})$ there exists a norm one ε -disjointness preserving operator φ such that $\|\varphi - \psi\| \geq \sqrt{\frac{17\varepsilon}{2}}$ for every weighted composition map ψ . Similarly, there exists a norm one $\frac{2}{17}$ -disjointness preserving operator φ such that $\|\varphi - \psi\| \geq 1$ for every weighted composition map ψ .

Stability in the commutative case

J. Araujo, J.J. Font, *Stability of weighted composition operators between spaces of continuous functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **79** (2009), 363–376.

Theorem D (Araujo & Font, 2009)

Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{2}{17}$. Then, for every ε -disjointness preserving linear operator $\varphi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$ there exists a weighted composition map $\psi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ such that

$$\|\varphi - \psi\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{17\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

Moreover, for each $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{2}{17})$ there exists a norm one ε -disjointness preserving operator φ such that $\|\varphi - \psi\| \geq \sqrt{\frac{17\varepsilon}{2}}$ for every weighted composition map ψ . Similarly, there exists a norm one $\frac{2}{17}$ -disjointness preserving operator φ such that $\|\varphi - \psi\| \geq 1$ for every weighted composition map ψ (hence, the case $\varepsilon \geq \frac{2}{17}$ is irrelevant).

Stability in the commutative case

Araujo and Font also obtained the optimal stability result for ε -disjointness preserving functionals.

J. Araujo, J.J. Font, *Stability of weighted point evaluation functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 3163–3170.

Stability in the commutative case

Araujo and Font also obtained the optimal stability result for ε -disjointness preserving functionals.

J. Araujo, J.J. Font, *Stability of weighted point evaluation functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 3163–3170.

First, note that if $\varphi \in C(X)^*$ and $\|\varphi\| = 1$, then ε -disjointness preserving is meaningless for $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{4}$ (in other words, every such φ is $\frac{1}{4}$ -disjointness preserving).

Stability in the commutative case

Araujo and Font also obtained the optimal stability result for ε -disjointness preserving functionals.

J. Araujo, J.J. Font, *Stability of weighted point evaluation functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 3163–3170.

First, note that if $\varphi \in C(X)^*$ and $\|\varphi\| = 1$, then ε -disjointness preserving is meaningless for $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{4}$ (in other words, every such φ is $\frac{1}{4}$ -disjointness preserving). For, suppose $f, g \in C(X)$, $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$ and $fg = 0$.

Stability in the commutative case

Araujo and Font also obtained the optimal stability result for ε -disjointness preserving functionals.

J. Araujo, J.J. Font, *Stability of weighted point evaluation functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 3163–3170.

First, note that if $\varphi \in C(X)^*$ and $\|\varphi\| = 1$, then ε -disjointness preserving is meaningless for $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{4}$ (in other words, every such φ is $\frac{1}{4}$ -disjointness preserving). For, suppose $f, g \in C(X)$, $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$ and $fg = 0$. Then

$$\|f + \alpha g\| = 1 \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in \mathbb{K} \text{ with } |\alpha| = 1,$$

Stability in the commutative case

Araujo and Font also obtained the optimal stability result for ε -disjointness preserving functionals.

J. Araujo, J.J. Font, *Stability of weighted point evaluation functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 3163–3170.

First, note that if $\varphi \in C(X)^*$ and $\|\varphi\| = 1$, then ε -disjointness preserving is meaningless for $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{4}$ (in other words, every such φ is $\frac{1}{4}$ -disjointness preserving). For, suppose $f, g \in C(X)$, $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$ and $fg = 0$. Then

$$\|f + \alpha g\| = 1 \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in \mathbb{K} \text{ with } |\alpha| = 1,$$

and hence $|\varphi(f) + \alpha\varphi(g)| \leq 1$ which implies $|\varphi(f)| + |\varphi(g)| \leq 1$.

Stability in the commutative case

Araujo and Font also obtained the optimal stability result for ε -disjointness preserving functionals.

J. Araujo, J.J. Font, *Stability of weighted point evaluation functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 3163–3170.

First, note that if $\varphi \in C(X)^*$ and $\|\varphi\| = 1$, then ε -disjointness preserving is meaningless for $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{4}$ (in other words, every such φ is $\frac{1}{4}$ -disjointness preserving). For, suppose $f, g \in C(X)$, $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$ and $fg = 0$. Then

$$\|f + \alpha g\| = 1 \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in \mathbb{K} \text{ with } |\alpha| = 1,$$

and hence $|\varphi(f) + \alpha\varphi(g)| \leq 1$ which implies $|\varphi(f)| + |\varphi(g)| \leq 1$. Therefore, $|\varphi(f)||\varphi(g)| \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

Stability in the commutative case

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\omega_n = \frac{n^2 - 1}{4n^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{A}_n = [\omega_{2n-1}, \omega_{2n+1}).$$

For any $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{4})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}_n$ define

$$\Theta_X(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{2n-1-\sqrt{1-4\varepsilon}}{2n} & \text{if } 2n \leq \text{card}X, \\ \frac{2m-1-\sqrt{1-4\varepsilon}}{2m} & \text{if } \text{card}X = 2m < 2n, \\ \frac{2m-2}{2m-1} & \text{if } \text{card}X = 2m - 1 < 2n. \end{cases}$$

Stability in the commutative case

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\omega_n = \frac{n^2 - 1}{4n^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{A}_n = [\omega_{2n-1}, \omega_{2n+1}).$$

For any $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{4})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}_n$ define

$$\Theta_X(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{2n-1-\sqrt{1-4\varepsilon}}{2n} & \text{if } 2n \leq \text{card}X, \\ \frac{2m-1-\sqrt{1-4\varepsilon}}{2m} & \text{if } \text{card}X = 2m < 2n, \\ \frac{2m-2}{2m-1} & \text{if } \text{card}X = 2m - 1 < 2n. \end{cases}$$

Theorem D' (Araujo & Font, 2010)

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. Then, for every ε -disjointness preserving functional φ on $C(X)$ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$ there exists a weighted evaluation functional ψ on $C(X)$ (i.e. ψ corresponds to a multiple of some Dirac's measure) such that $\|\varphi - \psi\| \leq \Theta_X(\varepsilon)$.

Stability in the commutative case

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\omega_n = \frac{n^2 - 1}{4n^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{A}_n = [\omega_{2n-1}, \omega_{2n+1}).$$

For any $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{4})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}_n$ define

$$\Theta_X(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{2n-1-\sqrt{1-4\varepsilon}}{2n} & \text{if } 2n \leq \text{card}X, \\ \frac{2m-1-\sqrt{1-4\varepsilon}}{2m} & \text{if } \text{card}X = 2m < 2n, \\ \frac{2m-2}{2m-1} & \text{if } \text{card}X = 2m - 1 < 2n. \end{cases}$$

Theorem D' (Araujo & Font, 2010)

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. Then, for every ε -disjointness preserving functional φ on $C(X)$ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$ there exists a weighted evaluation functional ψ on $C(X)$ (i.e. ψ corresponds to a multiple of some Dirac's measure) such that $\|\varphi - \psi\| \leq \Theta_X(\varepsilon)$. Moreover, the constants $\Theta_X(\varepsilon)$ are all sharp.

Stability in the non-commutative case

(for surjective maps)

J. Alaminos, J. Extremera, A.R. Villena, *Approximately zero product preserving maps*, Israel J. Math. **178** (2010), 1–28.

Theorem E (Alaminos, Extremera, Villena, 2010)

Let \mathcal{A} be either the group algebra $L^1(G)$ for some l.c. group G or a C^* -algebra and let \mathcal{B} be a Banach algebra. Suppose that both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are amenable and that there is a Banach \mathcal{B} -bimodule X so that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ is isomorphic as a \mathcal{B} -bimodule with X^* .

Stability in the non-commutative case

(for surjective maps)

J. Alaminos, J. Extremera, A.R. Villena, *Approximately zero product preserving maps*, Israel J. Math. **178** (2010), 1–28.

Theorem E (Alaminos, Extremera, Villena, 2010)

Let \mathcal{A} be either the group algebra $L^1(G)$ for some l.c. group G or a C^* -algebra and let \mathcal{B} be a Banach algebra. Suppose that both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are amenable and that there is a Banach \mathcal{B} -bimodule X so that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ is isomorphic as a \mathcal{B} -bimodule with X^* . Let $\varepsilon, K, M > 0$. Then there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is surjective with $\|T\| \leq K$, $\text{op}(T) \leq M$ and

$$\|T(x)T(y)\| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)\|x\|\|y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } xy = 0,$$

Stability in the non-commutative case

(for surjective maps)

J. Alaminos, J. Extremera, A.R. Villena, *Approximately zero product preserving maps*, Israel J. Math. **178** (2010), 1–28.

Theorem E (Alaminos, Extremera, Villena, 2010)

Let \mathcal{A} be either the group algebra $L^1(G)$ for some l.c. group G or a C^* -algebra and let \mathcal{B} be a Banach algebra. Suppose that both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are amenable and that there is a Banach \mathcal{B} -bimodule X so that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ is isomorphic as a \mathcal{B} -bimodule with X^* . Let $\varepsilon, K, M > 0$. Then there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is surjective with $\|T\| \leq K$, $\text{op}(T) \leq M$ and

$$\|T(x)T(y)\| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)\|x\|\|y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } xy = 0,$$

then there exist an invertible $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})'$ and a continuous, surjective homomorphism $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\|T - \nu\Phi\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Amenable Banach algebras

Nuclear C^* -algebras are exactly these which are **amenable** as Banach algebras—the concept introduced by B.E. Johnson in:

B.E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Memoir of the Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1972).

Amenable Banach algebras

Nuclear C^* -algebras are exactly these which are **amenable** as Banach algebras—the concept introduced by B.E. Johnson in:

B.E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Memoir of the Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1972).

(A non-cohomological) definition of amenability (1)

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ be its projective tensor product. By a **virtual diagonal** of \mathcal{A} we mean any bounded net $(m_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ such that:

- $m_\alpha a - a m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ (in norm) for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
- $\pi(m_\alpha)a, a\pi(m_\alpha) \rightarrow a$ (in norm) for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ (where $\pi: \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined by $\pi(a \otimes b) = ab$).

(A non-cohomological) definition of amenability (2)

"Equivalently", a virtual diagonal is any element $m \in (\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^{**}$ satisfying:

- $ma = am$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
- $\pi^{**}(m)a = a\pi^{**}(m) = a$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

(A non-cohomological) definition of amenability (2)

"Equivalently", a virtual diagonal is any element $m \in (\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^{**}$ satisfying:

- $ma = am$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
- $\pi^{**}(m)a = a\pi^{**}(m) = a$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

We say that \mathcal{A} is **amenable** whenever it has a virtual diagonal (either in the former or/and the latter sense).

(A non-cohomological) definition of amenability (2)

"Equivalently", a virtual diagonal is any element $m \in (\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^{**}$ satisfying:

- $ma = am$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
- $\pi^{**}(m)a = a\pi^{**}(m) = a$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

We say that \mathcal{A} is **amenable** whenever it has a virtual diagonal (either in the former or/and the latter sense).

Remarks:

- $C(X)$ is always amenable for every compact Hausdorff space X ;
- if G is an l.c. group, then $L_1(G)$ is amenable iff G is amenable;
- a C^* -algebra is amenable iff it is nuclear (there are many examples of such).

Stability in the non-commutative case

General strategy

Main result

If A is a nuclear C^* -algebra and B is a von Neumann algebra, then (A, B) has the Ulam stability property for d.p. maps.

Stability in the non-commutative case

General strategy

Main result

If A is a nuclear C^* -algebra and B is a von Neumann algebra, then (A, B) has the Ulam stability property for d.p. maps.

The strategy of the proof:

- 1 We can assume A is unital (extension theorem).

Stability in the non-commutative case

General strategy

Main result

If A is a nuclear C^* -algebra and B is a von Neumann algebra, then (A, B) has the Ulam stability property for d.p. maps.

The strategy of the proof:

- 1 We can assume A is unital (extension theorem).
- 2 Being ε -d.p. implies being 'almost Jordan' in the sense that

$$\|\phi(x)^2 - \phi(1_A)\phi(x^2)\| \leq 56\varepsilon\|x\|^2.$$

Stability in the non-commutative case

General strategy

Main result

If A is a nuclear C^* -algebra and B is a von Neumann algebra, then (A, B) has the Ulam stability property for d.p. maps.

The strategy of the proof:

- 1 We can assume A is unital (extension theorem).
- 2 Being ε -d.p. implies being 'almost Jordan' in the sense that

$$\|\phi(x)^2 - \phi(1_A)\phi(x^2)\| \leq 56\varepsilon\|x\|^2.$$

- 3 If $\phi(1_A) = 1_B$ we can use a certain approximation procedure via a virtual diagonal.

Stability in the non-commutative case

General strategy

Main result

If A is a nuclear C^* -algebra and B is a von Neumann algebra, then (A, B) has the Ulam stability property for d.p. maps.

The strategy of the proof:

- 1 We can assume A is unital (extension theorem).
- 2 Being ε -d.p. implies being 'almost Jordan' in the sense that

$$\|\phi(x)^2 - \phi(1_A)\phi(x^2)\| \leq 56\varepsilon\|x\|^2.$$

- 3 If $\phi(1_A) = 1_B$ we can use a certain approximation procedure via a virtual diagonal.
- 4 In general, there is a (corner) decomposition $\phi = \phi_s + \phi_r$, where $\|\phi_s\| = \|\phi\|^{3/5} O(\varepsilon^{1/5})$ is small,

Stability in the non-commutative case

General strategy

Main result

If A is a nuclear C^* -algebra and B is a von Neumann algebra, then (A, B) has the Ulam stability property for d.p. maps.

The strategy of the proof:

- 1 We can assume A is unital (extension theorem).
- 2 Being ε -d.p. implies being 'almost Jordan' in the sense that

$$\|\phi(x)^2 - \phi(1_A)\phi(x^2)\| \leq 56\varepsilon\|x\|^2.$$

- 3 If $\phi(1_A) = 1_B$ we can use a certain approximation procedure via a virtual diagonal.
- 4 In general, there is a (corner) decomposition $\phi = \phi_s + \phi_r$, where $\|\phi_s\| = \|\phi\|^{3/5} O(\varepsilon^{1/5})$ is small, $\phi_r(1_A)$ is 'well-invertible' and ϕ_r is $\|\phi\|^{15/8} \varepsilon^{1/16}$ -d.p.

Step 2. We show that if $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ is ε -o.z., then it is an '*approximate Jordan homomorphism*' in the sense that

$$\|\phi(x)^2 - \phi(1_A)\phi(x^2)\| \leq 56\varepsilon\|x\|^2.$$

General strategy of the proof

Step 2. We show that if $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ is ε -o.z., then it is an 'approximate Jordan homomorphism' in the sense that

$$\|\phi(x)^2 - \phi(\mathbf{1}_A)\phi(x^2)\| \leq 56\varepsilon\|x\|^2.$$

By some standard techniques, we may work with positive x so that $\sigma(x) \subseteq [0, 1]$. We consider the generated C^* -subalgebra $C(\sigma(x)) \cong C^*(x, \mathbf{1}_A)$, where x is identified with the identity function $\text{id}_{\sigma(x)}$. Then, we estimate our difference approximating $\text{id}_{\sigma(x)}$ by combinations of characteristic functions (on which T^{**} acts, as those functions are in the second dual of $C(\sigma(x))$).

General strategy of the proof

Step 2. We show that if $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ is ε -o.z., then it is an 'approximate Jordan homomorphism' in the sense that

$$\|\phi(x)^2 - \phi(\mathbf{1}_A)\phi(x^2)\| \leq 56\varepsilon\|x\|^2.$$

By some standard techniques, we may work with positive x so that $\sigma(x) \subseteq [0, 1]$. We consider the generated C^* -subalgebra $C(\sigma(x)) \cong C^*(x, \mathbf{1}_A)$, where x is identified with the identity function $\text{id}_{\sigma(x)}$. Then, we estimate our difference approximating $\text{id}_{\sigma(x)}$ by combinations of characteristic functions (on which T^{**} acts, as those functions are in the second dual of $C(\sigma(x))$).

Now, when ϕ is unital this should imply that ϕ lies close to some Jordan homomorphism.

General strategy of the proof

Step 3. We consider a '*Newton-Raphson like*' approximation procedure, defined as follows:

Step 3. We consider a '*Newton-Raphson like*' approximation procedure, defined as follows: Take an approximate diagonal for A , say of the form

$$m_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{N_\alpha} a_{\alpha,j} \otimes b_{\alpha,j};$$

General strategy of the proof

Step 3. We consider a '*Newton-Raphson like*' approximation procedure, defined as follows: Take an approximate diagonal for A , say of the form

$$m_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{N_\alpha} a_{\alpha,j} \otimes b_{\alpha,j};$$

for any operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denote by U^\wedge its *Jordan difference*, that is, $U^\wedge(x, y) = U(x \circ y) - U(x) \circ U(y)$.

General strategy of the proof

Step 3. We consider a 'Newton-Raphson like' approximation procedure, defined as follows: Take an approximate diagonal for A , say of the form

$$m_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{N_\alpha} a_{\alpha,j} \otimes b_{\alpha,j};$$

for any operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denote by U^\wedge its *Jordan difference*, that is, $U^\wedge(x, y) = U(x \circ y) - U(x) \circ U(y)$. Now, given an approximate Jordan $*$ -homomorphism T , define $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ by

$$S(a) = \lim_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\alpha} T(a_{\alpha,j}) T^\wedge(b_{\alpha,j}, a) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A}$$

General strategy of the proof

Step 3. We consider a 'Newton-Raphson like' approximation procedure, defined as follows: Take an approximate diagonal for A , say of the form

$$m_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{N_\alpha} a_{\alpha,j} \otimes b_{\alpha,j};$$

for any operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denote by U^\wedge its *Jordan difference*, that is, $U^\wedge(x, y) = U(x \circ y) - U(x) \circ U(y)$. Now, given an approximate Jordan $*$ -homomorphism T , define $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ by

$$S(a) = \lim_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\alpha} T(a_{\alpha,j}) T^\wedge(b_{\alpha,j}, a) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A}$$

and then the map $T \mapsto T + S$ gives the desired approximation procedure.