

Decompositions of variable Lebesgue norms by ODE techniques

Septièmes journées "Besançon-Neuchâtel" d'Analyse Fonctionnelle

Jarno Talponen

University of Eastern Finland
talponen@iki.fi

Besançon, June 2017



UNIVERSITY OF
EASTERN FINLAND

Abstract

We study decompositions of Nakano type varying exponent Lebesgue norms and spaces. These function spaces are represented here in a natural way as tractable varying ℓ^p sums of projection bands. The main results involve embedding the varying Lebesgue spaces to such sums, as well as the corresponding isomorphism constants.

The main tool applied here is an equivalent variable Lebesgue norm which is defined by a suitable ordinary differential equation introduced recently by the author.

There is a preprint in the ArXiv with a similar title.



Nakano spaces

- Variable Lebesgue spaces, or varying exponent L^p spaces are often equipped with the following Musielak-Orlicz type norm:

$$|f|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \rho(f/\lambda) \leq 1 \}$$

where

$$\rho(g) = \int_0^1 |g(t)|^{p(t)} dt, \quad p, f, g \in L^0[0, 1], \quad p \geq 1.$$



Nakano spaces

- Variable Lebesgue spaces, or varying exponent L^p spaces are often equipped with the following Musielak-Orlicz type norm:

$$|f|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \rho(f/\lambda) \leq 1 \}$$

where

$$\rho(g) = \int_0^1 |g(t)|^{p(t)} dt, \quad p, f, g \in L^0[0, 1], \quad p \geq 1.$$

- There are some heuristic continuity considerations involving the modular ρ which suggest that an additional weight function 'should' be applied in it.



Nakano spaces

- Variable Lebesgue spaces, or varying exponent L^p spaces are often equipped with the following Musielak-Orlicz type norm:

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \rho(f/\lambda) \leq 1 \}$$

where

$$\rho(g) = \int_0^1 |g(t)|^{p(t)} dt, \quad p, f, g \in L^0[0, 1], \quad p \geq 1.$$

- There are some heuristic continuity considerations involving the modular ρ which suggest that an additional weight function 'should' be applied in it.
- In the paper we investigate Nakano $L^{p(\cdot)}$ norms:

$$\| \| f \| \|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_0^1 \frac{1}{p(t)} \left| \frac{f(t)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(t)} dt \leq 1 \right\}$$

(here the terminology varies in the literature).



Nakano spaces

- Variable Lebesgue spaces, or varying exponent L^p spaces are often equipped with the following Musielak-Orlicz type norm:

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \rho(f/\lambda) \leq 1 \}$$

where

$$\rho(g) = \int_0^1 |g(t)|^{p(t)} dt, \quad p, f, g \in L^0[0, 1], \quad p \geq 1.$$

- There are some heuristic continuity considerations involving the modular ρ which suggest that an additional weight function 'should' be applied in it.
- In the paper we investigate Nakano $L^{p(\cdot)}$ norms:

$$\| \|f\| \|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_0^1 \frac{1}{p(t)} \left| \frac{f(t)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(t)} dt \leq 1 \right\}$$

(here the terminology varies in the literature).

- The above norms are derived from the Minkowski functional and are special cases of Musielak-Orlicz type $L^{p(\cdot)}$ norms.

Decompositions

- As usual, we denote by $X \oplus_p Y$ the direct sum of Banach spaces X and Y with the norm given by

$$\|(x, y)\|_{X \oplus_p Y} = \|x\|_X \boxplus_p \|y\|_Y, \quad x \in X, y \in Y, 1 \leq p < \infty$$

where

$$a \boxplus_p b := (a^p + b^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad a, b \geq 0.$$



Decompositions

- As usual, we denote by $X \oplus_p Y$ the direct sum of Banach spaces X and Y with the norm given by

$$\|(x, y)\|_{X \oplus_p Y} = \|x\|_X \boxplus_p \|y\|_Y, \quad x \in X, y \in Y, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty$$

where

$$a \boxplus_p b := (a^p + b^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad a, b \geq 0.$$

- Recall that

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \|1_\Delta f\|_{L^p} \boxplus_p \|1_{[0,1] \setminus \Delta} f\|_{L^p}$$

for any $f \in L^p[0, 1]$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, and a measurable subset $\Delta \subset [0, 1]$.



Decompositions

- As usual, we denote by $X \oplus_p Y$ the direct sum of Banach spaces X and Y with the norm given by

$$\|(x, y)\|_{X \oplus_p Y} = \|x\|_X \boxplus_p \|y\|_Y, \quad x \in X, y \in Y, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty$$

where

$$a \boxplus_p b := (a^p + b^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad a, b \geq 0.$$

- Recall that

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \|1_\Delta f\|_{L^p} \boxplus_p \|1_{[0,1] \setminus \Delta} f\|_{L^p}$$

for any $f \in L^p[0, 1]$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, and a measurable subset $\Delta \subset [0, 1]$.

- Now, if $p(\cdot)$ is a simple function with values p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n then it seems reasonable to ask, how and with what isomorphism constants we can decompose $L^{p(\cdot)}$ to the corresponding bands X_i . For instance,

$$L^{p(\cdot)} \stackrel{?}{\approx} (\dots (X_1 \oplus_{p_2} X_2) \oplus_{p_3} X_3) \oplus_{p_4} \dots \oplus_{p_n} X_n.$$



Decompositions

- As usual, we denote by $X \oplus_p Y$ the direct sum of Banach spaces X and Y with the norm given by

$$\|(x, y)\|_{X \oplus_p Y} = \|x\|_X \boxplus_p \|y\|_Y, \quad x \in X, y \in Y, 1 \leq p < \infty$$

where

$$a \boxplus_p b := (a^p + b^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad a, b \geq 0.$$

- Recall that

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \|1_{\Delta} f\|_{L^p} \boxplus_p \|1_{[0,1] \setminus \Delta} f\|_{L^p}$$

for any $f \in L^p[0, 1]$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, and a measurable subset $\Delta \subset [0, 1]$.

- Now, if $p(\cdot)$ is a simple function with values p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n then it seems reasonable to ask, how and with what isomorphism constants we can decompose $L^{p(\cdot)}$ to the corresponding bands X_i . For instance,

$$L^{p(\cdot)} \stackrel{?}{\approx} (\dots (X_1 \oplus_{p_2} X_2) \oplus_{p_3} X_3) \oplus_{p_4} \dots \oplus_{p_n} X_n.$$

- Preferably, the isomorphism constant should not depend on n .



Motivation

- The definition of the Musielak-Orlicz norm is global. It is easy to write the definition.



Motivation

- The definition of the Musielak-Orlicz norm is global. It is easy to write the definition.
- But is it easy to analyze these norms locally?









Motivation

- The definition of the Musielak-Orlicz norm is global. It is easy to write the definition.
- But is it easy to analyze these norms locally?
- In the analysis of classical integral operators different ℓ^p like auxiliary structures have turned out to be crucial.



References on such applications

-  Cruz-Uribe, D.; Fiorenza, A. Approximate identities in variable L_p spaces. *Math. Nachr.* 280 (2007), no. 3, 256–270.
-  Cruz-Uribe, D.; Fiorenza, A.; Martell, J. M.; Pérez, C. The boundedness of classical operators on variable L_p spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 31 (2006), no. 1, 239–264.
-  Cruz-Uribe, David; Wang, Li-An Daniel, Extrapolation and weighted norm inequalities in the variable Lebesgue spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 369 (2017), 1205–1235.
-  L. Diening, M. Ruzicka, Calderon-Zygmund operators on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and problems related to fluid dynamics. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 563 (2003), 197–220.
-  L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, Function spaces of variable smoothness and integrability, *Journal of functional Analysis*, 256, (2009), 1731–1768.
-  F. Maeda, Y. Sawano, T. Shimomura, Some norm inequalities in Musielak-Orlicz spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 41 (2016), 721–744.



Typical estimates in the paper



Typical estimates in the paper

Theorem

Let $p \in L^0$, $p \geq 1$ and Δ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, be a measurable decomposition of $[0, 1]$, $f \in L^\infty$ and $r_i = \text{ess inf}_{\Delta_i} p$, $s_i = \text{ess sup}_{\Delta_i} p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (\| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_1} f \|_{p(\cdot)} \boxplus_{s_2} \| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_2} f \|_{p(\cdot)}) \boxplus_{s_3} \dots \boxplus_{s_n} \| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_n} f \|_{p(\cdot)} \\ \leq \| f \|_{p(\cdot)} \\ \leq 2 (\| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_1} f \|_{p(\cdot)} \boxplus_{r_2} \| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_2} f \|_{p(\cdot)}) \boxplus_{r_3} \dots \boxplus_{r_n} \| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_n} f \|_{p(\cdot)}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{12} (\| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_1} f \|_{r_1} \boxplus_{r_2} \| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_2} f \|_{r_2}) \boxplus_{r_3} \dots \boxplus_{r_n} \| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_n} f \|_{r_n} \\ \leq \| f \|_{p(\cdot)} \\ \leq 12 (\| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_1} f \|_{s_1} \boxplus_{s_2} \| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_2} f \|_{s_2}) \boxplus_{s_3} \dots \boxplus_{s_n} \| \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_n} f \|_{s_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Norms defined by ODEs

- T. 2016 introduced an ODE which defines $L^{p(\cdot)}$ type norms.



Norms defined by ODEs

- T. 2016 introduced an ODE which defines $L^{p(\cdot)}$ type norms.
- The general strategy: Let f be a candidate for an element in the $L^{p(\cdot)}$ space sought after. The ODE is designed in such a way that its solution $\varphi_f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfies

$$\varphi_f(t) =: \|1_{[0,t]} f\|.$$



Norms defined by ODEs

- T. 2016 introduced an ODE which defines $L^{p(\cdot)}$ type norms.
- The general strategy: Let f be a candidate for an element in the $L^{p(\cdot)}$ space sought after. The ODE is designed in such a way that its solution $\varphi_f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfies

$$\varphi_f(t) =: \|1_{[0,t]} f\|.$$

- The absolutely continuous solution is defined by

$$\varphi_f(0) = 0^+, \quad \varphi_f'(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \varphi_f(t)^{1-p(t)} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, 1], \quad (1)$$

in the sense of Carathéodory.

Norms defined by ODEs

- T. 2016 introduced an ODE which defines $L^{p(\cdot)}$ type norms.
- The general strategy: Let f be a candidate for an element in the $L^{p(\cdot)}$ space sought after. The ODE is designed in such a way that its solution $\varphi_f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfies

$$\varphi_f(t) =: \|1_{[0,t]} f\|.$$

- The absolutely continuous solution is defined by

$$\varphi_f(0) = 0^+, \quad \varphi_f'(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \varphi_f(t)^{1-p(t)} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, 1], \quad (1)$$

in the sense of Carathéodory.

- This produces a unique non-negative, non-decreasing solution for a suitable $f \in L^0$, e.g. $f \in L^\infty$.

Function spaces defined by ODEs

- Given a measurable $p: [0, 1] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, we eventually define

$$L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)} := \{f \in L^0[0, 1] : \exists \varphi_f \wedge \varphi_f(1) < \infty\}$$

where φ_f exists as Carathéodory's weak solution to

$$\varphi_f(0) = 0^+, \quad \varphi_f'(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \varphi_f(t)^{1-p(t)} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} := \varphi_f(1).$$



Function spaces defined by ODEs

- Given a measurable $p: [0, 1] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, we eventually define

$$L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)} := \{f \in L^0[0, 1]: \exists \varphi_f \wedge \varphi_f(1) < \infty\}$$

where φ_f exists as Carathéodory's weak solution to

$$\varphi_f(0) = 0^+, \quad \varphi_f'(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \varphi_f(t)^{1-p(t)} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} := \varphi_f(1).$$

- Of course, it is not a priori clear that this defines a Banach space.



Function spaces defined by ODEs

- Given a measurable $p: [0, 1] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, we eventually define

$$L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)} := \{f \in L^0[0, 1] : \exists \varphi_f \wedge \varphi_f(1) < \infty\}$$

where φ_f exists as Carathéodory's weak solution to

$$\varphi_f(0) = 0^+, \quad \varphi_f'(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \varphi_f(t)^{1-p(t)} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} := \varphi_f(1).$$

- Of course, it is not a priori clear that this defines a Banach space.
- One has to be careful with the domains of definition of the solutions. Surprisingly, it turns out (see the paper) that linear structure fails before the \triangle -inequality.

Function spaces defined by ODEs

- Given a measurable $p: [0, 1] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, we eventually define

$$L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)} := \{f \in L^0[0, 1] : \exists \varphi_f \wedge \varphi_f(1) < \infty\}$$

where φ_f exists as Carathéodory's weak solution to

$$\varphi_f(0) = 0^+, \quad \varphi_f'(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \varphi_f(t)^{1-p(t)} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} := \varphi_f(1).$$

- Of course, it is not a priori clear that this defines a Banach space.
- One has to be careful with the domains of definition of the solutions. Surprisingly, it turns out (see the paper) that linear structure fails before the \triangle -inequality.
- For instance, if $p(\cdot)$ is essentially bounded then $L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}$ becomes a Banach space.

Illustration

- If p is a constant then the function φ_f is clearly absolutely continuous and in fact the above ODE is separable.



Illustration

- If p is a constant then the function φ_f is clearly absolutely continuous and in fact the above ODE is separable.
- Let us solve it:

$$\varphi'(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^p}{p} (\varphi(t))^{1-p} \quad \text{a.e.}$$



Illustration

- If p is a constant then the function φ_f is clearly absolutely continuous and in fact the above ODE is separable.
- Let us solve it:

$$\varphi'(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^p}{p} (\varphi(t))^{1-p} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

$$p(\varphi(t))^{p-1} \varphi'(t) = |f(t)|^p \quad \text{a.e.}$$



Illustration

- If p is a constant then the function φ_f is clearly absolutely continuous and in fact the above ODE is separable.
- Let us solve it:

$$\varphi'(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^p}{p} (\varphi(t))^{1-p} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

$$p(\varphi(t))^{p-1} \varphi'(t) = |f(t)|^p \quad \text{a.e.}$$

$$\int_0^1 p \varphi^{p-1} \varphi' dt = \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt,$$



Illustration

- If p is a constant then the function φ_f is clearly absolutely continuous and in fact the above ODE is separable.
- Let us solve it:

$$\varphi'(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^p}{p} (\varphi(t))^{1-p} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

$$p(\varphi(t))^{p-1} \varphi'(t) = |f(t)|^p \quad \text{a.e.}$$

$$\int_0^1 p \varphi^{p-1} \varphi' dt = \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt,$$

$$\varphi(1)^p = \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt,$$



Illustration

- If p is a constant then the function φ_f is clearly absolutely continuous and in fact the above ODE is separable.
- Let us solve it:

$$\varphi'(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^p}{p} (\varphi(t))^{1-p} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

$$p(\varphi(t))^{p-1} \varphi'(t) = |f(t)|^p \quad \text{a.e.}$$

$$\int_0^1 p \varphi^{p-1} \varphi' dt = \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt,$$

$$\varphi(1)^p = \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt,$$

$$\varphi(1) = \left(\int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$



Illustration II

- Thus for any $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in [1, \infty)$

$$L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)} = L^p.$$



Illustration II

- Thus for any $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in [1, \infty)$

$$L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)} = L^p.$$

- If

$$p = p_1 1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} + p_2 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}$$

then

$$L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)} = L^{p_1}[0, 1/2] \oplus_{p_2} L^{p_2}[1/2, 1]$$

in the natural way isometrically.



Illustration II

- Thus for any $p(\cdot) \equiv p \in [1, \infty)$

$$L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)} = L^p.$$

- If

$$p = p_1 1_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} + p_2 1_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}$$

then

$$L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)} = L^{p_1}[0, 1/2] \oplus_{p_2} L^{p_2}[1/2, 1]$$

in the natural way isometrically.

- This works similarly for successive ℓ^p summations.

Proposition

$$\| \| f \| \|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \| f \|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} \leq 2 \| \| f \| \|_{p(\cdot)} \quad f \in L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}.$$



Proposition

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} \leq 2\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \quad f \in L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}.$$

- To check

$$\|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} \geq \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0: \int_0^1 \frac{1}{p(t)} \left(\frac{|f(t)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(t)} dt \leq 1 \right\}$$

suppose that $0 < \varphi_f(1) = \lambda$.



Proposition

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} \leq 2\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \quad f \in L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}.$$

- To check

$$\|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} \geq \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0: \int_0^1 \frac{1}{p(t)} \left(\frac{|f(t)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(t)} dt \leq 1 \right\}$$

suppose that $0 < \varphi_f(1) = \lambda$.

- Then

$$\varphi'_f(t) \geq \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \lambda^{1-p(t)},$$

so that

$$\lambda = \varphi_f(1) \geq \int_0^1 \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \lambda^{1-p(t)} dt = \int_0^1 \lambda \frac{1}{p(t)} \left(\frac{|f(t)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(t)} dt.$$



$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} \leq 2\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \quad f \in L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}.$$

- To check

$$\|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} \geq \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0: \int_0^1 \frac{1}{p(t)} \left(\frac{|f(t)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(t)} dt \leq 1 \right\}$$

suppose that $0 < \varphi_f(1) = \lambda$.

- Then

$$\varphi'_f(t) \geq \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \lambda^{1-p(t)},$$

so that

$$\lambda = \varphi_f(1) \geq \int_0^1 \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} \lambda^{1-p(t)} dt = \int_0^1 \lambda \frac{1}{p(t)} \left(\frac{|f(t)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(t)} dt.$$

- This is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{p(t)} \left(\frac{|f(t)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(t)} dt \leq 1.$$



- To check the latter inequality, we may restrict to the case $\|f\|_{\rho(\cdot)} = 1$ by the positive homogeneity of the norms.



- To check the latter inequality, we may restrict to the case $\|f\|_{\rho(\cdot)} = 1$ by the positive homogeneity of the norms.
- If $\|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{\rho(\cdot)}} \leq 1$ then we have the claim, so assume that $0 < t_0 < 1$ is such that $\varphi_f(t_0) = 1$, i.e. $\|1_{[0,t_0]} f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{\rho(\cdot)}} = 1$.



- To check the latter inequality, we may restrict to the case $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1$ by the positive homogeneity of the norms.
- If $\|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} \leq 1$ then we have the claim, so assume that $0 < t_0 < 1$ is such that $\varphi_f(t_0) = 1$, i.e. $\|1_{[0,t_0]}f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} = 1$.
- Then

$$\varphi_f'(t) \leq \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)}, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [t_0, 1].$$



- To check the latter inequality, we may restrict to the case $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1$ by the positive homogeneity of the norms.
- If $\|f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} \leq 1$ then we have the claim, so assume that $0 < t_0 < 1$ is such that $\varphi_f(t_0) = 1$, i.e. $\|1_{[0,t_0]}f\|_{L_{\text{ODE}}^{p(\cdot)}} = 1$.

- Then

$$\varphi'_f(t) \leq \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)}, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [t_0, 1].$$

- Thus

$$\varphi_f(1) \leq 1 + \int_{t_0}^1 \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} dt \leq 1 + \int_0^1 \frac{|f(t)|^{p(t)}}{p(t)} dt = 1 + \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = 2.$$



Merci !

